Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/588,532	GROSJEAN-COURNOYER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SARAH PIHONAK	1627
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>ALLOWED</u>		
(1) <u>SARAH PIHONAK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>PAUL GRANDINETTI</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 April 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  ALL		
Claims discussed: 9-20		
Prior art documents discussed:  ALL		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A <sub>3</sub>	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Applicant's attorney, Paul Grandinetti, was contacted regarding the allowability of claims 9-17, 19, and 20. Applicants requested that withdrawn claim 18 be rejoined to the allowed product claims. An examiner's amendment for claim 18 was approved by Atty. Grandinetti.